DEFINITIONS OF h-LOGARITMIC, h-GEOMETRIC AND h-MULTI CONVEX FUNCTIONS AND SOME INEQUALITIES RELATED TO THEM

M.EMİN ÖZDEMİR★, MEVLÜT TUNÇ■, AND MUSTAFA GÜRBÜZ▲

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we put forward some new definitions and integral inequalities by using fairly elementary analysis.

1. Introduction

The following inequality is well known in the literature as the Hermite-Hadamard integral inequality [1].

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f\left(x\right) dx \le \frac{f\left(a\right) + f\left(b\right)}{2} \tag{1.1}$$

where $f: I \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on the interval I of real numbers and $a, b \in I$ with a < b.

The following definitions is well known in the literature.

Definition 1. A function $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$, $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, where I is a convex set, is said to be convex on I if inequality

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) \le tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y)$$
 (1.2)

holds for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

The concept of h-convexity was introduced by Varošanec [12] and was generalized by Házy [21].

Definition 2. [12] Let $h: J \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative function, $h \not\equiv 0$. We say that $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is an h-convex function, or that f belongs to the class SX(h, I), if f is non-negative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) \le h(t)f(x) + h(1 - t)f(y). \tag{1.3}$$

If inequality (1.3) is reversed, then f is said to be h-concave, i.e. $f \in SV(h, I)$. Obviously, if h(t) = t, then all nonnegative convex functions belong to SX(h, I) and all nonnegative concave functions belong to SV(h, I); if $h(t) = \frac{1}{t}$, then SX(h, I) = Q(I); if h(t) = 1, then $SX(h, I) \supseteq P(I)$; and if $h(t) = t^s$, where $s \in (0, 1)$, then $SX(h, I) \supseteq K_s^2$.

Definition 3. [4] A function $h: J \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a superadditive function if

$$h(x+y) \ge h(x) + h(y) \tag{1.4}$$

for all $x, y \in J$.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Hermite-Hadamard inequality, h-logaritmically convex, h-geometrically convex, h-multi convex, superadditivity.

[▲]Corresponding Author.

Recently, In [2], the concept of geometrically and s-geometrically convex functions was introduced as follows.

Definition 4. [2] A function $f:I\subset\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be a geometrically convex function if

$$f(x^{t}y^{1-t}) \leq [f(x)]^{t} [f(y)]^{1-t}$$
 (1.5)

for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 5. [2] A function $f: I \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be an s-geometrically convex function if

$$f(x^{t}y^{1-t}) \le [f(x)]^{t^{s}} [f(y)]^{(1-t)^{s}}$$
 (1.6)

for some $s \in (0,1]$, $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0,1]$.

If s=1, the s-geometrically convex function becomes a geometrically convex function on \mathbb{R}_+ .

In [3], Tunç and Akdemir introduced the class of s-logarithmically convex functions in the first and second sense as the following:

Definition 6. A function $f: I \subset \mathbb{R}_0 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be s-logarithmically convex in the first sense if

$$f(\alpha x + \beta y) \le [f(x)]^{\alpha^{s}} [f(y)]^{\beta^{s}}$$
(1.7)

for some $s \in (0,1]$, where $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha^s + \beta^s = 1$.

Definition 7. A function $f: I \subset \mathbb{R}_0 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be s-logarithmically convex in the second sense if

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le [f(x)]^{t^s} [f(y)]^{(1-t)^s}$$
 (1.8)

for some $s \in (0,1]$, where $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0,1]$.

Clearly, when taking s=1 in Definition 6 or Definition 7, then f becomes the standard logarithmically convex function on I.

2. Results for h-log-Convex Functions

Definition 8. A positive function f is called h-logarithmically convex on a real interval I = [a, b], if for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le [f(x)]^{h(t)} [f(y)]^{h(1-t)}$$
 (2.1)

where h(t) is a nonnegative function on J, with $h: J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

If f is a positive h-logarithmically concave function, then inequality is reversed. On the other hand, a function f is h-logarithmically convex on I if f is positive and $\log f$ is h-convex on I.

Proof. Let's rewrite $g = \log f(x)$. Since g is h-convex function, for $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we get

$$g(tx + (1 - t)y) \le h(t)g(x) + h(1 - t)g(y)$$

$$\log f(tx + (1 - t)y) \le h(t)\log f(x) + h(1 - t)\log f(y)$$

$$= \log f(x)^{h(t)} + \log f(y)^{h(1 - t)}$$

So we have

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le e^{\log f(x)^{h(t)}} e^{\log f(y)^{h(1-t)}}$$

= $[f(x)]^{h(t)} [f(y)]^{h(1-t)}$.

Remark 1. If we take h(t) = t in Definition 8, h-logarithmically convex (concave) become ordinary log-convex (concave) function, and if we take $h(t) = t^s$ in Definition 8, h-logarithmically convex (concave) become s-log-convex (concave) function in the second sense.

Proposition 1. Let f be an h-log-convex function. If the function h satisfies the condition

$$h\left(t\right) + h\left(1 - t\right) = 1$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$, then f is also h-convex function.

Proof. As we choose f is h-log-convex function we can write

$$f(tx + (1-t)y) \le [f(x)]^{h(t)} [f(y)]^{h(1-t)}$$
.

From a simple inequality

$$x^{\alpha}y^{1-\alpha} \le \alpha x + (1-\alpha)y$$

for x, y > 0 and by using the condition h(t) + h(1 - t) = 1 we have

$$f(tx + (1 - t)y) \le [f(x)]^{h(t)} [f(y)]^{h(1-t)}$$

 $\le h(t) f(x) + h(1 - t) f(y)$

which shows that f is h-convex function.

Theorem 1. Let f be an h-log-convex function. If f is monotonically increasing or decreasing and h is superadditive function on [0,1], we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) f(a+b-x) dx \le [f(a) f(b)]^{h(1)}$$
(2.2)

and

$$\frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \left(\int_a^b f(x) \, dx \right)^2 \le [f(a) \, f(b)]^{h(1)} \,. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Since f is an h-log-convex function, for $a, b \in I$, $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$f(ta + (1 - t)b) \le [f(a)]^{h(t)} [f(b)]^{h(1-t)}$$

and

$$f(tb + (1-t)a) \le [f(b)]^{h(t)} [f(a)]^{h(1-t)}$$
.

If we multiply both sides we have

$$f(ta + (1 - t)b) f(tb + (1 - t)a) \leq [f(a) f(b)]^{h(t)} [f(a) f(b)]^{h(1-t)}$$
$$= [f(a) f(b)]^{h(t)+h(1-t)}.$$

As we choose h is superadditive function we get

$$f(ta + (1 - t) b) f(tb + (1 - t) a) \le [f(a) f(b)]^{h(1)}$$
.

By integrating the last inequality over t from 0 to 1 we get

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) f(a+b-x) dx \leq \left[f(a) f(b) \right]^{h(1)}.$$

So the proof of (2.2) is completed.

On the other hand is we use Chebyshev inequality on (2.2) we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) f(a+b-x) dx \ge \frac{1}{(b-a)^{2}} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \int_{a}^{b} f(a+b-x) dx
= \frac{1}{(b-a)^{2}} \left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \right)^{2}.$$

So we have

$$\frac{1}{\left(b-a\right)^{2}}\left(\int_{a}^{b}f\left(x\right)dx\right)^{2}\leq\left[f\left(a\right)f\left(b\right)\right]^{h\left(1\right)}.$$

Then proof of (2.3) is completed.

Corollary 1. If we choose $h(t) = \frac{1}{t}$ at Theorem 1 as a superadditive function on [0,1] we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) f(a+b-x) dx \le f(a) f(b)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\left(b-a\right)^{2}}\left(\int_{a}^{b}f\left(x\right)dx\right)^{2}\leq f\left(a\right)f\left(b\right).$$

Theorem 2. Let f and g are h-log-convex functions on I and let h is symmetric about $\frac{1}{2}$. For $a, b \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} (fg)(x) dx \le \int_{0}^{1} \left[(fg)(a)(fg)(b) \right]^{h(t)} dt. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. As we choose f and g are h-log-convex functions on I we have

$$f(ta + (1 - t)b) \leq [f(a)]^{h(t)} [f(b)]^{h(1-t)}$$

$$g(ta + (1 - t)b) \leq [g(a)]^{h(t)} [g(b)]^{h(1-t)}$$

If we multiply both sides we get

$$f(ta + (1 - t) b) g(ta + (1 - t) b) \le [f(a) g(a)]^{h(t)} [f(b) g(b)]^{h(1-t)}$$
.

By integrating the inequality from 0 to 1 over t, and change the variable x = ta + (1 - t)b we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} (fg)(x) dx \le \int_{0}^{1} [f(a)g(a)]^{h(t)} [f(b)g(b)]^{h(1-t)} dt.$$

Since h is symmetric about $\frac{1}{2}$ we have h(t) = h(1-t). So we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a}\int_{a}^{b}\left(fg\right)\left(x\right)dx\leq\int_{0}^{1}\left[\left(fg\right)\left(a\right)\left(fg\right)\left(b\right)\right]^{h(t)}dt.$$

Theorem 3. Let f and g are h-log-convex functions. For $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $\alpha + \beta = 1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} (fg)(x) dx \le \int_{0}^{1} \left[\alpha \left\{ [f(a)]^{h(t)} [f(b)]^{h(1-t)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + \beta \left\{ [g(a)]^{h(t)} [g(b)]^{h(1-t)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} dt$$
(2.5)

and

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} (fg)(x) dx \le \int_{0}^{1} \left\{ \alpha \left[f(a) g(a) \right]^{\frac{h(t)}{\alpha}} + \beta \left[f(b) g(b) \right]^{\frac{h(1-t)}{\beta}} \right\} dt. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Since f and g are h-log-convex functions we have

$$f(ta + (1 - t)b) \leq [f(a)]^{h(t)} [f(b)]^{h(1 - t)}$$

$$g(ta + (1 - t)b) \leq [g(a)]^{h(t)} [g(b)]^{h(1 - t)}.$$
(2.7)

If we multiply both sides and use the fact that $cd \leq \alpha c^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + \beta d^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ (for $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$) we get

$$(fg) (ta + (1-t)b) \le \alpha \left\{ [f(a)]^{h(t)} [f(b)]^{h(1-t)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + \beta \left\{ [g(a)]^{h(t)} [g(b)]^{h(1-t)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

By integrating the above inequality, we get the proof of (2.5).

On the other hand after multiplying both sides of (2.7) we can write

$$\left(fg\right)\left(ta+\left(1-t\right)b\right)\leq\alpha\left[f\left(a\right)g\left(a\right)\right]^{\frac{h\left(t\right)}{\alpha}}+\beta\left[f\left(b\right)g\left(b\right)\right]^{\frac{h\left(1-t\right)}{\beta}}$$

Then, by integrating the last inequality we get the proof of 2.6.

Theorem 4. Let f be an h-log-convex function on [a,b]. For $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$ we have

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \le \alpha \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f\left(x\right)^{\frac{h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\alpha}} dx + \beta \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f\left(x\right)^{\frac{h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\beta}} dx.$$

Proof. If we choose $t = \frac{1}{2}$ on Definition 8 we have

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \le [f(x)f(y)]^{h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}$$

If we change the variable x = ta + (1 - t) b and y = (1 - t) a + tb we get

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \le f(ta+(1-t)b)^{h(\frac{1}{2})}f((1-t)a+tb)^{h(\frac{1}{2})}$$

If we use the inequality $cd \leq \alpha c^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + \beta d^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ (for $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$) we get

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \le \alpha f\left(ta + (1-t)b\right)^{\frac{h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\alpha}} + \beta f\left((1-t)a + tb\right)^{\frac{h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\beta}}.$$

By integrating the last inequality over t on [0,1] we have

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \le \alpha \int_0^1 f\left(ta + (1-t)b\right)^{\frac{h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\alpha}} dt + \beta \int_0^1 f\left((1-t)a + tb\right)^{\frac{h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\beta}} dt.$$

By rewriting the inequality by using suitable variable changings we get the desired result.

3. Results for h-geometrically Convex Functions

Definition 9. A positive function f is called h-geometrically convex on a real interval I = [a, b], if for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$f\left(x^{t}y^{(1-t)}\right) \leq [f(x)]^{h(t)} [f(y)]^{h(1-t)}$$
 (3.1)

where h(t) is a nonnegative function on J, with $h: J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

If f is a positive h-geometrically concave function, then inequality is reversed.

Remark 2. It is clear that when h(t) = t in Definition 9, h-geometrically convex (concave) become ordinary geometrically convex (concave) function, and if we take $h(t) = t^s$ in Definition 9, h-geometrically convex (concave) become s-geometrically convex (concave) function.

Remark 3. As we can write

$$x^t y^{(1-t)} \le tx + (1-t)y$$

for $t \in [0,1]$ and x,y > 0, we get all Theorems and Corollaries given at Section 2 for decreasing h-geometrically convex functions.

Theorem 5. Let f be an h-geometrically convex function on I. For every $x, y \in I$ with x < y we get

$$\frac{1}{\ln y - \ln x} \int_{-\pi}^{y} f(\gamma) f\left(\frac{xy}{\gamma}\right) \frac{d\gamma}{\gamma} \leq \int_{0}^{1} \left[f(x) f(y)\right]^{h(t) + h(1-t)} dt.$$

Proof. Since we choose f is an h-geometrically convex function on I, we can write

$$\begin{array}{lcl} f\left(x^{t}y^{1-t}\right) & \leq & [f\left(x\right)]^{h(t)} \left[f\left(y\right)\right]^{h(1-t)} \\ f\left(x^{1-t}y^{t}\right) & \leq & [f\left(x\right)]^{h(1-t)} \left[f\left(y\right)\right]^{h(t)}. \end{array}$$

If we multiply both sides of inequalities we get

$$f(x^t y^{1-t}) f(x^{1-t} y^t) \le [f(x) f(y)]^{h(t) + h(1-t)}$$

By integrating both sides respect to t over [0,1] we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x^{t}y^{1-t}) f(x^{1-t}y^{t}) dt \le \int_{0}^{1} [f(x) f(y)]^{h(t)+h(1-t)} dt$$

If we change the variable $\gamma = x^t y^{1-t}$, we get the desired result.

Theorem 6. Let f and g are h-geometrically convex functions on I. For p > 1, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ we get

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x^{t}y^{1-t}) g(x^{1-t}y^{t}) dt \leq \left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x)^{p^{2}h(t)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{2}}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} g(y)^{pqh(t)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{pq}} \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} f(y)^{pqh(1-t)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{pq}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} g(x)^{q^{2}h(1-t)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{2}}}$$

for every $x, y \in I$ with x < y and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. As we choose f and g are h-geometricially convex functions on I we can write

$$\begin{array}{lcl} f\left(x^{t}y^{1-t}\right) & \leq & \left[f\left(x\right)\right]^{h(t)}\left[f\left(y\right)\right]^{h(1-t)} \\ g\left(x^{1-t}y^{t}\right) & \leq & \left[g\left(x\right)\right]^{h(1-t)}\left[g\left(y\right)\right]^{h(t)} \,. \end{array}$$

By multiplying both sides and integrate respect to t over [0,1] we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x^{t}y^{1-t}) g(x^{1-t}y^{t}) dt \leq \int_{0}^{1} [f(x) g(y)]^{h(t)} [f(y) g(x)]^{h(1-t)} dt.$$

If we apply Hölder's inequality for p > 1, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ we get

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x^{t}y^{1-t}) g(x^{1-t}y^{t}) dt \leq \left(\int_{0}^{1} [f(x) g(y)]^{ph(t)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} [f(y) g(x)]^{qh(1-t)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Then by applying Hölder's inequality again, we get

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x^{t}y^{1-t}) g(x^{1-t}y^{t}) dt \leq \left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(x)^{p^{2}h(t)} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} g(y)^{pqh(t)} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(y)^{pqh(1-t)} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} g(x)^{q^{2}h(1-t)} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

By rearranging the inequality, we get the desired rusult.

4. h-multi Convex Functions

Finally, we can introduce the following definition.

Definition 10. A positive function f is called h-multi convex on a real interval I = [a, b], if for all $x, y \in I$ and $t, \lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$\lambda f\left(x^{t} y^{(1-t)}\right) + (1-\lambda) f\left(tx + (1-t)y\right) \le [f(x)]^{h(t)} [f(y)]^{h(1-t)}$$
(4.1)

where h(t) is a nonnegative function on J, with $h: J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

If f is a positive h-multi concave function, then inequality is reversed.

Remark 4. It is clear that when $\lambda = 0$ in Definition 10, h-multi convex (concave) become h-logarithmically convex (concave) function, and if we take $\lambda = 1$ in Definition 10, h-multi convex (concave) become s-geometrically convex (concave) function.

Theorem 7. Let f be an h-multi convex function on I. Then we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\ln y - \ln x} \int_{x}^{y} \frac{f\left(\gamma\right)}{\gamma} d\gamma + \frac{1}{y - x} \int_{x}^{y} f\left(\gamma\right) d\gamma \right] \le \int_{0}^{1} \left[f\left(x\right) \right]^{h(t)} \left[f\left(y\right) \right]^{h(1 - t)} dt$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $t, \lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. From Definition 10 we have

$$\lambda f\left(x^{t}y^{(1-t)}\right) + (1-\lambda)f\left(tx + (1-t)y\right) \leq [f(x)]^{h(t)}[f(y)]^{h(1-t)}.$$

If we integrate the inequality respect to λ over [0,1] we have

$$\int_0^1 \left(\lambda f\left(x^t y^{(1-t)}\right) + (1-\lambda) f\left(tx + (1-t)y\right) \right) d\lambda \le \int_0^1 \left[f\left(x\right) \right]^{h(t)} \left[f\left(y\right) \right]^{h(1-t)} d\lambda.$$

So we have

$$\frac{f\left(x^{t}y^{\left(1-t\right)}\right)+f\left(tx+\left(1-t\right)y\right)}{2}\leq\left[f\left(x\right)\right]^{h\left(t\right)}\left[f\left(y\right)\right]^{h\left(1-t\right)}.$$

Then by integrating the inequality respect to t over [0,1] we get the desired result. \square

References

- J. Hadamard, Étude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières et en particulier d'une fonction considerée par Riemann, J. Math Pures Appl., 58, (1893) 171–215.
- [2] T.-Y. Zhang, A.-P. Ji and F. Qi, On Integral inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard Type for s-Geometrically Convex Functions, Abstract and Applied Analysis, doi:10.1155/2012/560586.
- [3] M. Tunç and A. O. Akdemir: On some integral inequalities for s-logarithmically convex functions, submitted.
- [4] H. Alzer, A superadditive property of Hadamard's gamma function, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg., 79 (2009), 11-23.
- [5] W. W. Breckner, Stetigkeitsaussagen f"ur eine Klasse verallgemeinerter konvexer funktionen in topologischen linearen Raumen, Pupl. Inst. Math., 23, 13–20, 1978.
- [6] W. W. Breckner, Continuity of generalized convex and generalized concave set-valued functions, Rev Anal. Num er. Thkor. Approx., 22, 39-51, 1993.
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, J. Pečarić and L.E. Persson, Some inequalities of Hadamard type, Soochow J.Math., 21, 335-241, 1995.
- [8] E. K. Godunova and V.I. Levin, Neravenstva dlja funkcii sirokogo klassa, soderzascego vypuklye, monotonnye i nekotorye drugie vidy funkii, Vycislitel. Mat. i. Fiz. Mezvuzov. Sb. Nauc. Trudov, MGPI, Moskva, pp. 138–142, 1985.
- [9] H. Hudzik and L. Maligranda, Some remarks on s-convex functions, Aequationes Math., 48, 100–111, 1994.
- [10] D. S. Mitrinović, J. Pečarić, and A.M. Fink, Classical and new inequalities in analysis, KluwerA-cademic, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [11] H. J. Skala, On the characterization of certain similarly ordered super-additive functionals, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 126 (5) (1998), 1349-1353.
- [12] S. Varošanec, On h-convexity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Volume 326, Issue 1, 303-311, 2007.
 [13] M. Bombardelli and S. Varošanec, Properties of h-convex functions related to the Hermite-
- [13] M. Bombardelli and S. Varošanec, Properties of h-convex functions related to the Hermite– Hadamard–Fejér inequalities, Comput. Math. Appl. 58 (2009) 1869–1877.
- [14] M.Z. Sarıkaya, A. Sağlam and H. Yıldırım, On some Hadamard-type inequalities for h-convex functions, J. Math. Inequal. 2 (3) (2008) 335–341.
- [15] M.Z. Sarıkaya, E. Set and M.E. Özdemir, On some new inequalities of Hadamard-type involving h-convex functions, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian LXXIX (2) (2010) 265-272.
- [16] M.E. Özdemir, M. Gürbüz and A.O. Akdemir, Inequalities for h-Convex Functions via Further Properties, RGMIA Research Report Collection Volume 14, article 22, 2011.
- [17] M. Bessenyei, The Hermite-Hadamard inequality on simplices, Amer. Math. Monthly 115 (2008), no. 4, 339–345. MR 2009b:52023
- [18] P. Burai and A. Házy, On Orlicz-convex functions, Proc. 12th Symp. Math. Appl. (November 5-7, 2009) (University of Timioara), Editura Politechnica, 2010, pp. 73–79.
- [19] P. Burai, A. Házy, and T. Juhász, Bernstein-Doetsch type results for s-convex functions, Publ. Math. Debrecen 75 (2009), no. 1-2, 23-31.

- [20] M. Bessenyei and Zs. Páles, *Higher-order generalizations of Hadamard's inequality*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 61 (2002), no. 3-4, 623–643. MR 2003k:26021
- [21] A. Házy, Bernstein-Doetsch type results for h-convex functions, Math. Inequal. Appl. 14 (2011), no. 3, 499–508.
- [22] B. G. Pachpatte, Mathematical Inequalities, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Elsevier Science B.V. Amsterdam, 2005.
- *ATATÜRK UNIVERSITY, K. K. EDUCATION FACULTY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 25240, CAMPUS, ERZURUM, TURKEY

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: emos@atauni.edu.tr}$

 \blacksquare UNIVERSITY OF KİLİS 7 ARALIK, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 79000, KİLİS, TÜRKEY

E-mail address: mevluttunc@kilis.edu.tr

▲ UNIVERSITY OF AĞRI İBRAHİM ÇEÇEN, EDUCATION FACULTY, DEPARTMENT OF MATH-EMATICS, 04100, AĞRI, TURKEY

E-mail address: mgurbuz@agri.edu.tr